

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/543,089 | 06/09/2006 | Kazunori Takada | 052074 | 5615 |
| 38834 7590 12/02/2009 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP | | | EXAMINER | |
| 1250 CONNEC | CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW | | RUMP, RICHARD M | |
| SUITE 700 WASHINGTO | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1793 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/02/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail $\,$ address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|---|--|
| Nation of About Journal | 10/543,089 | TAKADA ET AL. | |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Richard M. Rump | 1793 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of | Mailing or Transmission dated | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | not constitute a proper reply under 3 | 7 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejectio application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constit final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See | | mpt at a proper reply, to the non- | |
| (d) 🛮 No reply has been received. | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee an from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 | | the statutory period of three months | |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was , which is after the expiration of the statutory p Allowance (PTOL-85). | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n | ot been received. | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as req Allowability (PTO-37). | uired by, and within the three-month | period set in, the Notice of | |

after the expiration of the period for reply.

(b) No corrected drawings have been received.

4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.

(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is

 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.

The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on _____ and because the period for seeking court review
of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.

7. The reason(s) below:

/R. M. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 1793 /Stuart Hendrickson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.